#### IN THE

## Supreme Court of the United States

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION,

Petitioner,

—v.—

CHRISTINE BEAUMONT, et al.,

Respondents.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

# BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE OF THE BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE AT NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER

BURT NEUBORNE
FREDERICK A.O. SCHWARZ
DEBORAH GOLDBERG
Counsel of Record
BRENNAN CENTER FOR JUSTICE
AT N.Y.U. SCHOOL OF LAW
161 Avenue of the Americas
12th Floor
New York, New York 10013
(212) 998-6730

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Pag	ze
Tał	ole of	f Authorities i	iii
Inte	erest	of the Amicus Curiae	1
Inti	oduc	ctory Statement and Summary of Argument	2
Arg	gume	ent	5
I.		cuit Court Interpretations of MCFL Ignore This urt's Clear Rulings.	5
	A.	This Court Has Consistently and Unambiguously Restricted the <i>MCFL</i> Exception to Nonprofits That Refuse Corporate and Union Contributions	5
	В.	Appellate Courts Have Misinterpreted MCFL to Allow Exemptions for Nonprofits That Do Accept Corporate or Union Money.	8
II.		s Court Should Reaffirm That It Meant What It d in MCFL	2
	A.	Extending the MCFL Exemption to Nonprofits That Accept a "Modest" Percentage of Their Revenues from Corporations and Unions Potentially Allows Millions of Dollars of Corporate and Union Money to Flow into Federal Electioneering	.3
	B.	Extending the <i>MCFL</i> Exemption to Nonprofits That Accept a Limited Total Amount of Corporate or Union Funds Also Invites Circumvention of Federal Campaign Finance Law	6

### Contents

	C.	If This Court Weakens <i>MCFL</i> by Declining to	
		Enforce a Complete Ban on Corporate or Union	
		Financing of Nonprofit Electioneering, the	
		Expanded Exception Should Be Limited to Small	
		Nonprofits	18
Con	clus	sion	19