UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JENNIFER GRATZ and PATRICK HAMACHER, for themselves and all others similarly situated, CASE NO.: 97-CV-75231-DT HON. PATRICK J. DUGGAN

Plaintiffs,

V.

LEE BOLLINGER, JAMES J.
DUDERSTADT, the BOARD OF
REGENTS of the UNIVERSITY OF
MICHIGAN,

Defendants,

and

EBONY PATTERSON, RUBEN MARTINEZ, LAURENT CRENSHAW, KARLA R. WILLIAMS, LARRY BROWN, TIFFANY HALL, KRISTEN M.J. HARRIS, MICHAEL SMITH, KHYLA CRAINE, NYAH CARMICHAEL, SHANNA DUBOSE, EBONY DAVIS, NICOLE BREWER, KARLA HARLIN, BRIAN HARRIS, KATRINA GIPSON, CANDICE B.N. REYNOLDS, by and through their parents or guardians, DENISE PATTERSON, MOISE MARTINEZ, LARRY CRENSHAW, HARRY J. WILLIAMS, PATRICIA SWAN-BROWN, KAREN A. MCDONALD, LINDA A. HARRIS, DEANNA A. SMITH, ALICE BRENNAN, IVY RENE CHARMICHAEL, SARAH L. DUBOSE, INGER DAVIS, BARBARA DAWSON, ROY D. HARLIN, WYATT G. HARRIS, GEORGE C. GIPSON, SHAWN R. REYNOLDS, AND CITIZENS FOR AFFIRMATIVE ACTION'S PRESERVATION,

Defendant-Intervenors.

/

ORDER

At a session of said Court, held in the U.S.

District Courthouse, City of Detroit, County of
Wayne, State of Michigan,
FEB 26, 2001

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE PATRICK J. DUGGAN U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

On October 14, 1997, Plaintiffs filed a class action against the University of Michigan and various University officials asserting that the University had violated Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, as well as the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, by considering race as a factor in admissions decisions at its College of Literature, Science, and the Arts ("LSA"). On December 13, 2000, this Court issued an Opinion addressing only the University Defendants' arguments that the LSA's admissions programs pass constitutional muster as a narrowly tailored means of achieving diversity, *see Gratz v. Bollinger*, 122 F. Supp. 2d 811 (E. D. Mich. 2000), reserving Defendant-Intervenors' argument that the LSA's admissions programs pass constitutional muster as narrowly tailored means of remedying past and current discrimination by the University for later consideration. For the reasons stated in an Opinion issued this date,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment with respect to Defendant-Intervenors' claim that the University was justified in using race as a factor in

admissions to remedy the present effects of past discrimination is GRANTED; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant-Intervenors' claims that the University

was justified in using race as a factor in admissions to remedy the present effects of past

discrimination are **DISMISSED**.

/s/

PATRICK J. DUGGAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: FEB 26, 2001

3